

# National Judicial Academy

**P-1190:** Workshop on Negotiable Instruments Act, 19981

22<sup>nd</sup> to 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2019

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Paiker Nasir, Research Fellow

**No. of Participants** : 39

**No. of forms received** : 38

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	21. To enhance & update my knowledge to the subject.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>97.30</b>	<b>2.70</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>97.30</b>	<b>2.70</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>94.59</b>	<b>5.41</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>89.19</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>2.70</b>	31. Yes certainly the programme would be useful for implementing the ideas shared & would surely enhance the skills for discharging my doubts.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>97.30</b>	<b>2.70</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>67.65</b>	<b>32.35</b>	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>36.67</b>	<b>60.00</b>	<b>3.33</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>97.37</b>	<b>2.63</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>72.22</b>	<b>27.78</b>	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>86.11</b>	<b>13.89</b>	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>61.76</b>	<b>38.24</b>	-	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>78.79</b>	<b>21.21</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
2	<b>77.42</b>	<b>22.58</b>	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>
3	<b>77.42</b>	<b>22.58</b>	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>
4	<b>86.67</b>	<b>13.33</b>	<b>95.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>
5	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
6	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
7	<b>72.41</b>	<b>27.59</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>

8	82.76	17.24	100.00	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	94.59	5.41	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	94.29	5.71	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	88.57	11.43	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>2. Got updated on latest case law.</li> <li>3. Supply of reading material; Deliberations on case laws – old and recent.</li> <li>4. Recent case law; Understanding question reading of section &amp; its interpretation.</li> <li>5. Recent case laws; Better understanding &amp; comparative analysis of provisions.</li> <li>6. 1. Detailed knowledge about N.I. act; 2. Improvement disposal of cases; 3. Compounding N.I. Act cases.</li> <li>7. Conceptual clarity on many topics; Updation on recent developments in law; Insight into electronic evidence.</li> <li>8. 1. Relevant to my line of work; 2. Opportunity to learn more case laws; 3. Advice, suggestions and tools put forth by resource persons are relevant and will be useful while deciding cases.</li> <li>9. 1. Inspiration to learn and 2. Dedication towards the service; 3. Case management, tackle judiciously.</li> <li>10. Related latest law or 138 N.I. act.</li> <li>11. The objective the programme was clear to me.</li> <li>12. None.</li> <li>13. None.</li> <li>14. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>15. Substantive law, judicial skills- management &amp; technology, social context &amp; judge craftsmanship.</li> <li>16. Compounding after meters &amp; Instrument Pvt. Ltd. Vs Kanchan Mehta; Jurisdiction aspect; Interim compensation.</li> <li>17. 1. How to dispose the cases as much as earlier; 2. Updating knowledge and case laws; 3. How to interpret and apply the case laws.</li> </ol>

	<p>18. Useful informative, knowledge to my work.</p> <p>19. Various doubts cleared legal developments discussed lecture on compounding and early disposal of NI cases.</p> <p>20. 1. Knowledge kept up to date; 2. Case laws are well discussed; 3. Participation of magistrate is encouraged.</p> <p>21. The programme is very useful in day to day work functionary &amp; dealing with various aspects &amp; stages of cases of NI Act.</p> <p>22. 1. Complete knowledge about cases relating to NI act; 2. Clear almost every doubt about.</p> <p>23. 1. The citation; 2. Study material provided us before sessions start; 3. The interaction of participants.</p> <p>24. Reading material useful.</p> <p>25. 1. Improve work management of court; 2. Always update with recent judgement; 3. How to read law.</p> <p>26. Power-point presentation of knowledgeable Justice Sachdeva on electronic evidence. Discussion of recent case law by Hon'ble Justice Ashutosh kumar and Justice Sapre.</p> <p>27. 1. Interaction my batch of NJA programme (P-1190); 2. Heard resource persons of Hon'ble High Courts; 3. Participate in this programme at NJA Bhopal.</p> <p>28. 1. Discipline; 2. Dedication; 3. Timely assistance.</p> <p>29. 1. Advices of justice A.M. Sapre; 2. Guidelines suggested by Justice R. Basant; 3. Class on electronic evidence lead by Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva.</p> <p>30. 1. Knowledge on NI act; 2. How to be a good judge; 3. Land management.</p> <p>31. 1. Sharing of views; 2. Would enhance the skills to be more effective while dealing cases.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. It relates to my work and given idea to follow and appreciate judgement decided by apex court.</p> <p>34. Overall three days programme gives me (different type of case law) not will give more insight to the subject.</p> <p>35.1. From Justice A.M. Sapre, it is of great satisfaction to hear that "You are judge and here to do just without fear &amp; favor"; 2. All the justices regained my senses regarding passion for study of law; 3. The legal propositions are made clear to the extent of present day position day Hon'ble Apex court.</p> <p>36. 1. It will be effective for our day to day work; 2. Case laws were very much relevant; 3. It clears many doubts about dealings of cases.</p> <p>37. 1. Gathered knowledge about the recent development on the subject; 2. Updated case law are very much useful for handling cases on this subject; 3. Techniques, tools of speedy disposal of case of NI act.</p> <p>38. It was very beneficial for us and it will be very fruitful for us.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Sharing of experience was enlighten enough.</p> <p>2. Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva; Audio Visual.</p> <p>3. Case study &amp; interactions.</p>

	<p>4. Techniques &amp; facts for timely disposal, Nuances of trial U/Sec. 138 of ND Act effectives by companies.</p> <p>5. The entire programme because it will help in better application for our work field related cases.</p> <p>6. Interactive session.</p> <p>7. How to dispose cases in a speedy manner within the framework of law.</p> <p>8. All of the topics.</p> <p>9. I liked the whole programme most useful. It was like touching and covering the entire N.I. act in a most effective and beautiful manner.</p> <p>10. Every part of this programme most useful.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. All the sessions were very useful.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. <b>Session 2: Contemporary Developments.</b></p> <p>16. Jurisdiction sessions; Discussion on development on law form Section 138 to 147; Offences by companies.</p> <p>17. Interaction sessions and sharing the experiences of other officers.</p> <p>18. All most all the programmes were useful &amp; beneficial as it was to my work.</p> <p>19. Interaction with fellow judges from across the country and with Hon'ble justices.</p> <p>20. All the programmes are useful.</p> <p>21. Hon'ble Justice Sachdeva's presentation as electronic evidence is like complementary additional advantage of learning &amp; participating in this programme.</p> <p>22. <b>Session 7: Jurisdictional Developments under Section 138; Session 8: Techniques and Tools for Timely Disposal of cases under the Act.</b> – Session of the programme.</p> <p>23. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva sessions with electronic presentation is really good &amp; informative.</p> <p>24. <b>Session 4: Nuances of Trial under Section 138; Session 6: Offence by Companies and Vicarious Liability of officers of the Company and Session 7: Jurisdictional Developments under Section 138.</b></p> <p>25. <b>Session 4: Nuances of Trial under Section 138; Session 5: Presumption under Section 118 and 139 of the Act; Session 6: Offence by Companies and Vicarious Liability of officers of the Company and Session 7: Jurisdictional Developments under Section 138.</b></p> <p>26. Presentation on electronic evidence. It is useful as this is common era of developing of electronic/computer in day to day life.</p> <p>27. All law subject for appreciate of law in judgement.</p> <p>28. The programme of Hon'ble Justice, Sanjeev Sachdeva. His enthusiasm presentation &amp; updated knowledge of modernity.</p> <p>29. <b>Session 1: History and Evolution of Negotiable Instruments Law; Session 2: Contemporary Developments.</b></p>
--	---

	<p>30. <b>Session 4:</b> Nuances of Trial under Section 138 and <b>Session 7:</b> Jurisdictional Developments under Section 138- clear doubt &amp; confusions is the about field.</p> <p>31. All the sessions are useful &amp; thought provoking but lecture was the session of Hon'ble Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva's.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. All.</p> <p>34. All the three days programme is useful to me.</p> <p>35. The sessions of Justice A.M. Sapre, Justice A.M. Thipsay, Justice Sanjeev Sachdeva, Justice Ashutosh Kumar, as they all again helped me came to right conclusion that studying law is passion and me must keep our mind open to discuss; legal proposition and advancement of law.</p> <p>36. All part of the programme are most useful as those will help to solve the day to day dealings with cases.</p> <p>37. Evolution &amp; developments of the topic so discussed are very useful for me.</p> <p>38. Whole part of programme was useful.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Nil.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. None.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. None.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. None.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. Not at all.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. None.</p> <p>23. No.</p> <p>24. None.</p>

	<p>25. None.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Evidentiary value of documentary evidence.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Nil.</p> <p>30. Nil.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. <i>Session 1: History and Evolution of Negotiable Instruments Law; Session 2: Contemporary Developments and Session 3: Nature of Offence under Section 138.</i></p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. Justice R.Y. Ganoo. It was little bit contradictory to present position of law.</p> <p>36. NA.</p> <p>37. All the topics are very much useful for me.</p> <p>38. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. More of audio visuals &amp; power-points would be of great help too.</p> <p>2. The programme should be at least for 5 days with emphasis on tools &amp; techniques.</p> <p>3. Arrangement of vehicles for judicial officer to go around the city; Provision of electric kettles and tea/coffee etc. in rooms.</p> <p>4. Help of more audio visual modes could be taken.</p> <p>5. More audio visual presentations.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Everything is good.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Everything was very good.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. More time may be given to face participants to regarding the issues (relating to the subject of discussion) in the court.</p> <p>16. Kindly include appreciation of facts stated in defense for the purpose of judgement.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Keep the things as it is invite us again.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Keep maintain the things as it is.</p>

	<p>21. I am dealing with exclusive NI act cases and such programmes are required to be conducted frequently as there is very frequent charges take place in the legal position related to various provisions under the act.</p> <p>22. Such kind of workshop may be programme for each and every topic because in various states different topics are of great concerns.</p> <p>23. Hon'ble academy will provide every sessions material by particular resource person, then it is most useful to us. Thanking you.</p> <p>24. None.</p> <p>25. None.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Regularly organize the programmes for interaction of the different judicial officer of the states and resource persons.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Nil.</p> <p>31. The sessions &amp; lecture sessions are already effective.</p> <p>32. Periods for the training should be a 7 days at least.</p> <p>33. It may give separate time if any one has any issue regarding work, which could be resolve.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. Please call me often, as daily court proceeding does not provide such nice scope to read laws in such column and free mind manner.</p> <p>36. Such type of programmes are very much required for ourselves.</p> <p>37. Soft copies of the study material may be supplied to the participant on requisition well ahead of the programme so that participant can get the scope for better preparation.</p> <p>38. As no stage I feel any difficulty at least seven days training programme must be organized.</p>
--	--